This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

refects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,872	09/18/2001	Melissa J. Pike	04899-041001	8475
959	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
	COCKFIELD, LLP.	HOANG, P	HOANG, PHUONG N	
28 STATE S BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200.013, 121 02103			2126	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Phuong N. Hoang 2126 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			Application No.	A1:4/a)	<u> </u>			
## Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)	OX.			
Phuong N. Hoang -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for early specified above is less than thity (30) cays, a reply within the standary reinfamm of thiny, (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for early specified above is less than thirty (30) cays, a reply within the standary reinfamm of thiny, (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for early specified above is less than thirty (30) cays, a reply within the standary reinfamm of thiny, (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for early specified above is less than they give a shape than the speciation to be come ABMICONED (9 all S. \$ 133). Any reply received by the Cifical lader than these morbits after the mailing date of this communication, (so U.S. \$ 133). Any reply received by the Cifical lader than these morbits after the mailing date of this communication, even if lamely filed, may reduce any sentred plantine and adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.79(b). Status 1) Sees Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This scition is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)			09/954,872	PIKE ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALIND DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of the map to be eabled under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a right be limbly filled the provision of the provided above to the set that they (50) days, a up of the provision of		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. a but all LING DATE of the understand the line of the communication. If the period rary is specified above, the maniform statutory prior of vide capital parts and the period is the but all ling (0.0) days, and you with the period of the line communication. If the period rary is specified above, the maniform statutory prior of vide capital parts and the period of the line communication. A privately exceed by the Office the than there morthed after the manifing date of this communication, even if the period of the period of the period of the period of the line communication. A privately exceed by the Office than there morthed after the manifing date of this communication, even if the period of the period of the period of the line communication. A privately exceed by the Office than there morthed after the manifing date of this communication, even if the period of the period of the period of the line communication. A privately exceed by the Office than the morth of the communication of the period of the								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for regly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statutory minimum of barry (50) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statutory minimum of barry (50) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply white the statutory minimum of barry (50) MONTH from the remaining date of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any counted patient them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.79(6b). Status 1) A Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected. 5) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) D objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be hold in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri			on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2001. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 18 September 2001.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			•					
A) □ Claim(s) 1 - 55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 77 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Intornation Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8)08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.		•	allowance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
4)		closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Intornation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.	Disposit	on of Claims						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/601.	 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 55 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.	Applicat	ion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. Attachment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.	,—			Mahiastad ta hutha Fusar	-i			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.	,							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01.								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 6) ☐ Other:								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 6) Other:	Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachmer	t(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/01. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	/SB/08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	1-152)			

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 55 are pending for examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: 44. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claims 1 – 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2126

3. Claims 1 - 53 are directed to method steps which can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper, therefore they are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, as claimed, it is uncertain what performs each of the claimed method steps. Moreover, each of the claimed steps, inter alia, establishing, providing can be practiced mentally in conjunctions with pen and paper. The claimed steps do not define a machine or computer implemented process [see MPEP 2106]. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. (The examiner suggests applicant to change "method" to "computer implemented method" in the preamble to overcome the outstanding 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 2126

6. As to claim 1, applicants claimed "establishing a first communication channel linking a command interpreter and a first control instrument in response to the first creation command independent of an interface bus standard type and an interface hardware driver type".

Examiner see that the summary in the specification (page 1) discloses "establishing a first communication channel linking a command interpreter and a first control instrument in response to the first creation command independent of an interface bus standard type OR an interface hardware driver type". "And" and "or" has totally different meaning.

- 7. Claims 2 26 are rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. As to claim 1, at lines 4 6, applicant claimed "establishing a first communication channel linking a command interpreter and a first control instrument in response to the first creation command independent of an interface bus standard type and an interface hardware driver type". It is not clearly understand what the phrase "in response to the

Art Unit: 2126

first creation command independent of an interface bus standard type and an interface hardware driver type" means (i.e., it is the establishing the linking step or the receiving command step independent from an interface bus and an interface hardware driver type). For examining purpose, examiner treats the establishing step is independent from an interface bus and an interface hardware driver type.

11. Claims 2-26 are rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 1 9, 11 12, 14 20, 22 35, 40 46, and 48 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumley, US patent no. 5,926,775 in view of Mondrik, US patent no. 5,627,998.
- 14. As to claim 1, Brumley teaches a method comprising the steps of:receiving a first creation command (receives the first of calls, col. 3 lines 6 10);

Art Unit: 2126

establishing a first communication channel (channel, fig. 7 and col. 6 lines 11 – 35) linking a command interpreter (interpreter, fig. 7) and a first device (first DAQ device of the DAQ device family, fig. 7 and col. 1, 3, 4 lines 10 – 25) response the first creation command independent of an interface bus standard type (the establishing or receiving command step is independent from VXI, GPIB, col. 1 lines 40 – 45 and col. 3 lines 5 – 10 and lines 55 - 65) and an interface hardware driver (device interface or driver level, col. 1 lines 45 – 50 and col. 4 lines 10 – 25) type

providing a common communication interface (DAQ driver level software provides a high-level interface to the operation of the DAQ device, col. 1 lines 60 – 65 and col. 3 lines 6 - 20) communicating with first device.

Brumley does not explicitly teach the DAQ device is a control instrument.

However, Brumley teaches the hardware interface comprising VXI (VME extension for instruments, GPIB, col. 1 lines 35 – 42).

Mondrik teaches the DAQ device is an instrument controlled by a user application (instrument, col. 1 lines 55 – 65 and col. 2 lines 15 lines 40 and col. col. 11 lines 65 – col. 12 lines 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to combine the teaching of Brumley and Mondrik's system because Mondrik's instrument is also a hardware device including similar hardware interfaces and running on the same environment.

Art Unit: 2126

- 15. **As to claim 2**, Brumley teaches the step of wherein creation command comes from a user interface (user interface, col. 3 lines 6 10 and col. 4 lines 20 25).
- 16. **As to claim 3**, Brumley teaches the step of establishing second communication channel (channel, fig. 7) linking the command interpreter and a second control instrument (second of DAQ devices, col. 4 lines 10 15 and col. 6 lines 20 30) response a second creation command from the user interface.
- 17. **As to claims 4 and 5**, Brumely teaches the step of wherein the first communication channel established through a first communication interface (VXI, GPIB, col. 1 lines 40 45) of the control instrument and the second communication channel is established through a second communication interface of the second control instrument, the first communication interface being of first type (VXI, col. 1 lines 40 45) and the second communication interface being of second type (GPIB, col. 1 lines 40 45).
- 18. **As to claim 6,** Mondrik teaches the Virtual Instrumentation Software Architecture (VISA, abstract).
- 19. **As to claim 7**, Brumley teaches the steps of wherein

the first control instrument having a communication interface is selected from a group of instrument interfaces (each family of DAQ devices, such as DAQ interface boards, col. 4 lines 10 – 15) having a first driver (each device having a driver

Art Unit: 2126

communication over the bus, col. 1 lines 45 – col. 2 lines 2) that includes communication interface; and,

the second control communication interface is selected from a group of instrument interfaces (each family of DAQ devices, such as DAQ interface boards, col. 4 lines 10 – 15) having a second driver that includes the second type of communication interface (each device having a driver communication over the bus, col. 1 lines 45 – col. 2 lines 2).

20. As to claim 8, Brumley teaches the steps of

establishing the first communication channel with the response to first instantiation command according to a first syntax (type of call, col. 15 lines 20 – 40 and col. 3 lines 55 - 60);

establishing the second communication channel second control instrument in response second instantiation command according to the first syntax (type of call, col. 15 lines 20 – 40 and col. 3 lines 55 - 60).

21. **As to claim 9**, Brumley teaches the steps comprising of:

creating a first instrument object (first device object for first device of the family device, col. 3 lines 42 – 52) associated with the communication channel in response to an interpreter command (interpreter receives the call Generate calls, col. 3 lines 55 – 65) wherein the first instrument object has properties (by definition of object);

Art Unit: 2126

creating a second instrument object (second device object for second device of the family device, col. 3 lines 42 – 52) associated with the second communication channel in response to an interpreter command, wherein the second instrument object has properties;

Mondrik teaches the step of

creating an object array (array, abstract and col. 1 lines 55 – 65, col. 3 lines 32 – 40) including first instrument object and the second instrument object as elements of the object array in response to an array creation command interpreter wherein the object array comprises properties; and

changing the properties the first communication channel and the second communication channel in response to the interpreter command change the properties of the object array (one of ordinary skills in the art can recognize that the properties need to be changed to be suitable with the array size).

- 22. **As to claim 11**, Brumley teaches the step of wherein the first instrument object has a read function, the function comprising the step of receiving data from the first communication channel response interpreter command execute read function the first instrument object (read function, col. 12 lines 5 14).
- 23. **As to claim 12,** Brumley teaches the step of wherein the first instrument

Art Unit: 2126

object has a write function (write, col. 12 lines 5 - 14), the transmitting data through the first communication channel in response interpreter command to execute the instrument object.

- 24. **As to claim 14**, Brumley teaches the step comprising of detecting an available interface for the first communication channel with the first control instrument, wherein the first communication channel is established on a detected interface (col. 6 lines 10 35).
- 25. **As to claim 15**, Brumley modified by Mondrik teaches the step of wherein the common communication interface includes a command interpreter (Brumley; the DAQ driver level includes one or more interpreters, col. 3 lines 6 20) having instrument engine operating in array-based environment (Mondrik; array, abstract and col. 1 lines 55 65, col. 3 lines 32 40).
- 26. **As to claim 16**, Brumley teaches the step comprising of generating timer events and event handling operations (timer....in response to events, col. 12 lines 45 48).
- 27. **As to claims 17 19**, Brumley teaches the step of restoring an object to the array-based environment, and buffering data between the interface hardware and the user interface (buffer object is a software object created to make data movement from user own use, col. 20 lines 5 35) and creating record files data transfer.

Art Unit: 2126

- 28. **As to claim 20,** Brumley teaches the step of comprising validating parameters (validating incoming function parameters, col. 15 lines 20 25).
- 29. **As to claim 22**, Brumley teaches the step comprising of configuring object properties (it is definition of object).
- 30. **As to claim 23**, Brumley teaches the step comprising of translating error codes (constructorError, col. 23 lines 10 15).
- 31. **As to claim 24**, Brumley and Mondrik do not teach the step comprising of data casting.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the data type to be type casting to be suitable with the data type of the application.

32. **As to claim 25**, Brumley teaches the steps of wherein the communication channel established by linking a compilation means (compiles, col. 23 lines 30 - 38) and the response to the first creation command independent an interface bus standard type and interface hardware driver type.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,872 Page 12

Art Unit: 2126

33. **As to claim 26**, Mondrik teaches the step of wherein the compilation means compiles user created program a stand-alone executable file (stand-alone system, col. 2 lines 15 – 30) when a command for compiling the program is received.

34. **As to claim 27,** Brumley teaches a system comprising the steps of:

user interface adapted to receive a first creation command (user interface, col. 3 lines 6 – 10 and col. 4 lines 20 - 25);

a command interpreter adapted to receive the first creation command (interpreter receives calls, fig. 7 and col. 3 lines 6-15);

a first device (first device of DAQ device family, col. 4 lines 10 - 25);

a first communication channel (channel, fig. 7 and col. 6 lines 11 - 35) linking the command interpreter and the first control instrument; and

a common communication interface for communicating with the first control instrument (DAQ driver level software provides a high-level interface to the operation of the DAQ device, col. 1 lines 60 – 65 and col. 3 lines 6 - 20).

Brumley does not explicitly teach the DAQ device is a control instrument.

However, Brumley teaches the hardware interface comprising VXI (VME extension for instruments, GPIB, col. 1 lines 35 – 42).

Mondrik teaches the DAQ device is an instrument controlled by a user application (instrument, col. 1 lines 55 – 65 and col. 2 lines 15 lines 40 and col. col. 11 lines 65 – col. 12 lines 15).

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to combine the teaching of Brumley and Mondrik's system because Mondrik's instrument is also a hardware device and they have same structure running on the same environment.

- 35. As to claims 28 30, see rejection for claims 3 5 above.
- 36. **As to claim 31**, see rejection for claim 6 above.
- 37. As to claims 32 34, see rejection for claims 7 8 above.
- 38. **As to claim 35**, see rejection for claim 9 above.
- 39. **As to claim 40,** see rejection for claim 14 above.
- 40. As to claims 41 46, see rejection for claims 15 20 above.
- 41. **As to claims 48 52**, see rejection for claims 22 26 above.
- 42. **As to claim 53**, Brumely teaches the steps comprising of:

Art Unit: 2126

instantiating an device object in response to an instantiating function call (instantiates a DAQ device software object corresponding to a respective DAQ device which is executable in response to a call, col. 10 lines 15 – 20 and col. 3 lines 7 – 10);

establishing a communication channel (each channel is associated with a DAQ device, fig. 7 and col. 6 lines 11 – 35) linking a control instrument to the instrument object in response to a function call;

writing and reading data between the control instrument and the instrument object in response to write and read function calls (read/write, col. 11 line 62 – col. 12 line 40 and col. 10 lines 15 – 22; and

disconnecting the instrument object from the control instrument in response to a close function call (it is the end of function call).

Brumley does not explicitly teach the DAQ device is a control instrument.

However, Brumley teaches the hardware interface comprising VXI (VME extension for instruments, GPIB, col. 1 lines 35 – 42).

Mondrik teaches the DAQ device is an instrument controlled by a user application (instrument, col. 1 lines 55 – 65 and col. 2 lines 15 lines 40 and col. col. 11 lines 65 – col. 12 lines 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to combine the teaching of Brumley and Mondrik's system because Mondrik's instrument is also a hardware device and they have same structure running on the same environment.

Art Unit: 2126

- 43. **As to claim 54**, it is the system claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.
- 44. **As to claim 55**, it is the product claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.
- 45. Claims 10, 13, 21, 36 39, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumley, US patent no. 5,926,775 in view of Mondrik, US patent no. 5,627,998, and further in view of Bryant, US patent no. 5,764,546.
- 46. **As to claim 10**, Brumley teaches that each channel is associated with respect to the DAQ device (col. 6 lines 22 30).

Brumley and Mondrik do not explicitly teaches the steps comprising of: changing the configuration of the first communication channel response the interpreter command to change the properties of the first instrument object; and,

changing the configuration channel in response to the interpreter command to change the properties of the second instrument object.

Bryant teaches the step of

changing the configuration of the first communication channel (configuring channels, title and abstract);

changing the configuration of the second communication channel (configuring channels, title and abstract).

Art Unit: 2126

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Brumley, Mondrik, and Bryant's system because Bryant's channel configuration would provide a suitable communication association with the instrument objects for the interpreter to make calls.

- 47. **As to claim 13,** Bryant teaches the step of displaying the configuration the channel in response to the interpreter command instrument object (displays various panel in a configuring window to enable the user to easily specify the respective channel configuration, col. 2 lines 45 50).
- 48. **As to claim 21**, Bryant teaches the step of byte swapping (swapping, col. 18 lines 52 65).
- 49. **As to claims 36 39**, see rejection for claims 10 13 above.
- 50. **As to claim 47**, see rejection for claim 21 above.

Conclusion

51. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2126

Kay et al., US patent no. 6,096,094, demonstrating a method for configuring a data acquisition system.

Hayles et al., US patent no. 6,067,584, demonstrating a method for configuring a method configuring and controlling a data acquisition task.

Wang, US patent no. 6,286,054, demonstrating a method and system for supporting multiple capture devices.

Finch et al., US patent no. 6,704,802, demonstrating a method and system for communication between independent software modules.

52. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong N. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 605-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,872 Page 18

Art Unit: 2126

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ph August 9, 2004 MENG-ALT. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100